UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

	•	Washington, D.C. 2	0549
		FORM 10-Q	
(Mark One) [X]	QUARTERLY REPORT PURSU EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934	ANT TO SECTION	N 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES
	For the qua	arterly period ende	June 30, 2002
		OR	
[]	TRANSITION REPORT PURSUS SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT		N 13 OR 15(d) OF THE
	For the transition pe	eriod from	to
	Comm	ission file number:	001-15957
	CAPSTONE	TURBINE C	ORPORATION
	(Exact nam	e of Registrant as specifi	ed in its charter)
	Delaware (State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)		95-4180883 (I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)
	21211 Nordhof	f Street, Chatswort	h, California 91311
	(Address o	of principal executive off	ces) (Zip code)
		818-734-5300	
	(Registrant	's telephone number incl	iding area code)
Act of 1934 during		horter period that the	d to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been
	APPLICABLE ON	LY TO CORPORA	ATE REGISTRANTS:
The number of	shares outstanding of the registrant's co	mmon stock as of Ju	ly 31, 2002 was 77,523,466.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

PART I — FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Item 1. Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)

CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Unaudited)

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

Item 3. Qualitative and Quantitative Disclosures About Market Risk

PART II — OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1. Legal Proceedings

Item 2. Changes in Securities and Use of Proceeds

Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities

Item 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders

Item 5. Other Information-Business Risks

Item 6. Exhibits and Reports on Form 8-K:

SIGNATURES

EXHIBIT 10.10

EXHIBIT 10.11

CAPSTONE TURBINE CORPORATION

INDEX

PART I — FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Item 1.	Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)	
	Consolidated Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2001 and June 30, 2002	1
	Consolidated Statements of Operations for the Three Months and Six Months Ended June 30, 2001 and June 30, 2002	2
	Consolidated Statements of Stockholders' Equity for the Six Months Ended June 30, 2001 and June 30, 2002	3
	Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the Six Months Ended June 30, 2001 and June 30, 2002	4
	Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements	5
Item 2.	Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	8
Item 3.	Qualitative and Quantitative Disclosures About Market Risk	13
	PART II — OTHER INFORMATION	
Item 1.	Legal Proceedings	14
Item 2.	Changes in Securities and Use of Proceeds	14
Item 3.	Defaults Upon Senior Securities	14
Item 4.	Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders	14
Item 5.	Other Information — Business Risks	15
Item 6.	Exhibits and Reports on Form 8-K	24
Signatures		26
	2	

PART I — FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Item 1. Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)

CAPSTONE TURBINE CORPORATION CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS (Unaudited)

	December 31, 2001	June 30, 2002
ASSETS		
Current Assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 170,868,000	\$ 155,126,000
Accounts receivable, net of allowance for doubtful accounts of \$163,000 at		
December 31, 2001 and \$310,000 at June 30, 2002	8,016,000	7,991,000
Inventory	21,973,000	16,914,000
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	1,422,000	2,931,000
Total current assets	202,279,000	182,962,000
Equipment and Leasehold Improvements:		
Machinery, equipment, and furniture	22,895,000	23,974,000
Leasehold improvements	9,235,000	9,241,000
Molds and tooling	4,534,000	4,728,000
	36,664,000	37,943,000
Less accumulated depreciation and amortization	9,362,000	12,175,000
Less accumulated depreciation and amortization	9,302,000	12,173,000
Total equipment and leasehold improvements	27,302,000	25,768,000
Deposits on Fixed Assets	2,550,000	1,900,000
Other Assets	242,000	139,000
Intangible Assets, Net	21,881,000	3,028,000
Total	\$ 254,254,000	\$ 213,797,000
LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY		
Current Liabilities:		
Accounts payable	\$ 3,490,000	\$ 5,443,000
Accrued salaries and wages	1,440,000	1,915,000
Other accrued liabilities	1,263,000	1,064,000
Accrued warranty reserve	4,145,000	4,621,000
Deferred revenue	1,471,000	1,062,000
Current portion of capital lease obligations	1,308,000	1,373,000
Total current liabilities	13,117,000	15,478,000
Long-Term Portion of Capital Lease Obligations	2,525,000	1,790,000
Other Long-Term Liabilities	1,158,000	1,204,000
Commitments and Contingencies	1,130,000	1,204,000
Stockholders' Equity:		
Common stock, \$.001 par value; 415,000,000 shares authorized; 77,207,383 and 77,514,259 shares issued and outstanding at December 31, 2001 and June 30,		
2002, respectively	77,000	78,000
Additional paid-in capital	521,668,000	522,403,000
Accumulated deficit	(284,291,000)	(327,156,000)
Total stockholders' equity	237,454,000	195,325,000
Total	\$ 254,254,000	\$ 213,797,000

CAPSTONE TURBINE CORPORATION CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS (Unaudited)

	Month	nree ns Ended ne 30,	Six Months Ended June 30,		
	2001	2002	2001	2002	
Revenues	\$ 13,559,000	\$ 7,408,000	\$ 22,465,000	\$ 11,999,000	
Cost of Goods Sold	12,982,000	11,631,000	21,565,000	19,180,000	
Gross Profit (Loss)	577,000	(4,223,000)	900,000	(7,181,000)	
Operating Costs and Expenses:					
Research and development	2,889,000	1,619,000	5,659,000	3,058,000	
Selling, general and administrative	10,893,000	9,650,000	20,805,000	18,010,000	
Impairment loss	· —	15,999,000	_	15,999,000	
•					
Total operating costs and expenses	13,782,000	27,268,000	26,464,000	37,067,000	
Loss from Operations	(13,205,000)	(31,491,000)	(25,564,000)	(44,248,000)	
Interest Income	3,082,000	756,000	6,126,000	1,579,000	
Interest Expense	(153,000)	(105,000)	(320,000)	(219,000)	
Other Income	13,000	5,000	27,000	25,000	
Loss Before Income Taxes	(10,263,000)	(30,835,000)	(19,731,000)	(42,863,000)	
Provision for Income Taxes		_	1,000	2,000	
Net Loss	\$(10,263,000)	\$(30,835,000)	\$(19,732,000)	\$(42,865,000)	
Weighted Average Common Shares Outstanding	76,654,902	77,453,602	76,351,836	77,420,588	
Net Loss Per Share of Common Stock — Basic and Diluted	\$ (0.13)	\$ (0.40)	\$ (0.26)	\$ (0.55)	

CAPSTONE TURBINE CORPORATION CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY SIX MONTHS ENDED JUNE 30, 2001 and JUNE 30, 2002 (Unaudited)

Common Stock

	Common Stock					
	Shares Outstanding	Amount	Additional Paid In Capital	Accumulated Deficit	Total	
Balance, December 31, 2000	75,771,303	\$76,000	\$516,738,000	\$(237,432,000)	\$279,382,000	
Stock-based compensation	_	_	1,058,000	_	1,058,000	
Exercise of stock options and						
employee stock purchases	1,073,419	1,000	1,868,000	_	1,869,000	
Stock issuance costs	_	_	(110,000)	_	(110,000)	
Net loss	_	_	_	(19,732,000)	(19,732,000)	
Balance, June 30, 2001	76,844,722	\$77,000	\$519,554,000	\$(257,164,000)	\$262,467,000	
Balance, December 31, 2001	77,207,383	\$77,000	\$521,668,000	\$(284,291,000)	\$237,454,000	
Stock-based compensation	· · · —	_	528,000		528,000	
Exercise of stock options and			,		,	
employee stock purchases	306,876	1,000	207,000	_	208,000	
Net loss	_	· —		(42,865,000)	(42,865,000)	
Balance, June 30, 2002	77,514,259	\$78,000	\$522,403,000	\$(327,156,000)	\$195,325,000	

CAPSTONE TURBINE CORPORATION CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS (Unaudited)

Six Months Ended June 30,

	Jui	ie 50,
	2001	2002
Cash Flows from Operating Activities:		
Net loss	\$ (19,732,000)	\$ (42,865,000)
Adjustments to reconcile net loss to net cash used in operating activities:	. (-))	,,,,,,,,,,
Depreciation and amortization	4,517,000	6,229,000
Impairment loss		15,999,000
Provision for doubtful accounts	30,000	125,000
Provision for inventory reserves	955,000	2,853,000
Loss on disposal of equipment	57,000	86,000
Non-employee stock compensation	185,000	
Employee stock compensation	873,000	528,000
Changes in operating assets and liabilities:	073,000	320,000
Accounts receivable	(11,197,000)	(100,000)
Other assets	(11,197,000)	100,000
Inventory	(3,286,000)	2,206,000
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	580,000	(1,509,000)
Accounts payable	1,862,000	1,953,000
Accrued salaries and wages and deferred compensation	142,000	466,000
Other accrued liabilities and deferred rent	1,174,000	(145,000)
Accrued warranty reserve	(393,000)	476,000
Deferred revenue	(864,000)	(409,000)
Net cash used in operating activities	(25,097,000)	(14,007,000)
Cash Flows from Investing Activities:		
Acquisition of and deposits on equipment and leasehold improvements	(11,922,000)	(1,289,000)
Intangible assets	(557,000)	
Net cash used in investing activities	(12,479,000)	(1,289,000)
Cash Flows from Financing Activities:		
Repayment of capital lease obligations	(740,000)	(654,000)
Exercise of stock options and employee stock purchases	1,869,000	208,000
Stock issuance costs	(110,000)	
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities	1,019,000	(446,000)
Net Decrease in Cash and Cash Equivalents	(36,557,000)	(15,742,000)
Cash and Cash Equivalents, Beginning of Period	236,947,000	170,868,000
Cash and Cash Equivalents, End of Period	\$200,390,000	\$155,126,000
Supplemental Disclosures of Cash Flow Information:		_
Cash paid during the period for:		
Interest	\$ 320,000	\$ 219,000
Income taxes	\$ 1,000	\$ 2,000
	,000	-,500

CAPSTONE TURBINE CORPORATION NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Unaudited)

1. Business and Organization

Capstone Turbine Corporation (the "Company") develops, manufactures, and markets microturbine generator sets for use in stationary, combined heat and power generation, resource recovery, hybrid electric vehicle and other power and heat applications in the markets for distributed power generation. The Company was organized in 1988 and has been commercially producing its microturbine generators since 1998.

The Company has incurred significant operating losses since its inception. Management anticipates incurring additional losses until the Company can produce sufficient revenues to cover costs. To date, the Company has funded its activities primarily through private and public equity offerings.

2. Basis of Presentation

The accompanying unaudited financial statements have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America ("generally accepted accounting principles") for interim financial information and with the instructions to Form 10-Q and Regulation S-X promulgated under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. They do not include all of the information and footnotes required by generally accepted accounting principles for complete financial statements. The balance sheet at December 31, 2001 was derived from audited financial statements included in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2001. In the opinion of management the interim financial statements include all adjustments (consisting of normal recurring adjustments) necessary for a fair presentation of the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows for such periods. Results of operations for any interim period are not necessarily indicative of results for any other interim period or for the full year. These financial statements should be read in conjunction with the financial statements and notes thereto included in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2001.

3. New Accounting Pronouncements

In July 2001, Statement of Financial Accounting Standards ("SFAS") No. 142, "Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets" was issued by the Financial Accounting Standards Board. SFAS No. 142 applies to all acquired intangible assets whether acquired singly, as part of a group, or in a business combination. SFAS No. 142 specifies that goodwill and indefinite lived intangible assets will no longer be amortized but instead will be subject to periodic impairment testing. Intangible assets with a determinable useful life will continue to be amortized over that period. The Company adopted SFAS No. 142 effective January 1, 2002. The adoption of SFAS No. 142 did not have a significant impact on the Company's consolidated financial position or results of operations.

In August 2001, SFAS No. 144, "Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of Long-Lived Assets", was issued by the Financial Accounting Standards Board. SFAS No. 144 addresses the financial accounting and reporting issues for the impairment or disposal of long-lived assets. This statement supersedes SFAS No. 121 but retains the fundamental provisions for (a) recognition/measurement of impairment of long-lived assets to be held and used and (b) measurement of long-lived assets to be disposed of by sales. The Company adopted SFAS No. 144 effective January 1, 2002. The initial adoption of SFAS No. 144 did not have a significant impact on the Company's consolidated financial position or results of operations. As of June 30, 2002, due to a change in sales forecast, the Company evaluated the remaining book value of the Marketing Rights and determined that this asset was impaired based on the assessment of the expected cash flows that can be generated by this asset during its remaining term. The Company recorded an impairment loss of \$16.0 million in the three months ended June 30, 2002.

Intangibles consisted of the following:

	December 31, 2001		June 30, 2002			
	Gross Carrying Amount	Accumulated Amortization	Intangibles, Net	Gross Carrying Amount	Accumulated Amortization and Impairment Loss	Intangibles, Net
Marketing rights	\$28,046,000	\$(9,380,000)	\$18,666,000	\$28,046,000	\$(28,046,000)	\$ —
Manufacturing license	3,663,000	(448,000)	3,215,000	3,663,000	(635,000)	3,028,000
	\$31,709,000	\$(9,828,000)	\$21,881,000	\$31,709,000	\$(28,681,000)	\$ 3,028,000

CAPSTONE TURBINE CORPORATION NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Unaudited) — (Continued)

Amortization expense for the three months and six months ended June 30, 2002 was \$1.4 million and \$2.9 million, respectively. The Manufacturing License is scheduled to be fully amortized by 2010 with corresponding amortization estimated to be \$187,000, \$375,000, \$375,000, \$375,000, \$375,000 and \$1,341,000, for the remainder of 2002, calendar years 2003, 2004, 2005, 2006, and thereafter, respectively.

In July 2002, SFAS No. 146, "Accounting for Costs Associated with Exit or Disposal Activities", was issued by the Financial Accounting Standards Board. SFAS No. 146 requires companies to recognize costs associated with exit or disposal activities when they are incurred rather than at the date of a commitment to an exit or disposal plan. Examples of costs covered by the standard include lease termination costs and certain employee severance costs that are associated with a restructuring, discontinued operation, plant closing, or other exit or disposal activity. SFAS No. 146 is to be applied prospectively to exit or disposal activities initiated after December 31, 2002.

4. Segment Reporting

The Company is considered to be a single operating segment in conformity with SFAS No. 131, "Disclosures about Segments of an Enterprise and Related Information." The business activities of said operating segment are the development, manufacture and sale of turbine generator sets. Following is the geographic revenue information:

	Three-Months Ended June 30, 2001	Three-Months Ended June 30, 2002	Six-Months Ended June 30, 2001	Six-Months Ended June 30, 2002
North America	\$ 8,851,000	\$5,629,000	\$14,258,000	\$ 6,768,000
Asia	2,439,000	1,768,000	5,136,000	3,662,000
Europe	944,000	11,000	1,391,000	2,106,000
South America	769,000	, <u> </u>	973,000	32,000
Africa	556,000		707,000	(569,000)
Total	\$13,559,000	\$7,408,000	\$22,465,000	\$11,999,000

Revenues and margins for the six months ended June 30, 2002 were reported net of \$569,000 and \$79,000, respectively, that resulted from the repossession of 20 units of our 30-kilowatt products from a distributor in Africa.

5. Inventories

Inventories are stated at the lower of standard cost (which approximates actual cost on the first-in, first-out method) or market. The amounts below are net of \$3,205,000 and \$5,382,000 of reserves for excess and obsolete inventories at December 31, 2001 and June 30, 2002, respectively. Inventory at June 30, 2002 included a \$1.8 million provision for excess as a result of a change in the Company's sales forecast.

	December 31, 2001	June 30, 2002
Raw materials	\$13,466,000	\$10,977,000
Work in process	2,220,000	2,147,000
Finished goods	6,287,000	3,790,000
Total	\$21,973,000	\$16,914,000

As of June 30, 2002, the Company had firm purchase commitments of approximately \$5 million with its suppliers.

6. Stock-Based Compensation

During 1999 and 2000, the Company issued common stock options to employees and directors at less than the fair value of its common stock. Accordingly, the Company recorded stock-based compensation expense based on the vesting of these grants of \$398,000 and \$263,000 for the three months ended June 30, 2001 and 2002, and \$873,000 and \$528,000 for the six months ended June 30, 2001 and 2002, respectively. Stock-based compensation expense for the three months ended June 30, 2001 was included in cost of goods sold, research and development, and selling, general, and administrative expenses in the amounts of \$17,000, \$79,000 and \$302,000, respectively. Stock-based compensation expense for the three months ended June 30, 2002 was included in cost of goods sold, research and development and selling, general, and administrative expenses in the amounts of \$11,000, \$69,000 and \$183,000, respectively. Stock-based compensation expense for the six months ended June 30, 2001 was included in cost of goods sold, research and development, and selling, general, and administrative expenses in the amounts of \$34,000, \$164,000 and \$675,000,

respectively. Stock-based compensation expense for the six months ended June 30, 2002 was included in cost of goods sold, research and development and selling, general, and administrative expenses in the amounts of \$22,000, \$138,000 and \$368,000, respectively.

As of June 30, 2002, the Company had \$1.6 million in deferred stock compensation related to stock options, which will be recognized as stock-based compensation expense through 2004, as the amortization is based on the vesting period.

7. Commitments and Contingencies

The Company is subject to legal proceedings, claims and litigation arising in the ordinary course of business. While outcome of any of these matters is currently not determinable, management does not expect that the ultimate costs to resolve these matters will have a material adverse effect on the Company's financial position and results of operations.

In December 2001, a purported shareholder class action lawsuit was filed in the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York against the Company, two of its officers, and the underwriters of our initial public offering. The suit purports to be a class action filed on behalf of purchasers of our common stock during the period from June 28, 2000 to December 6, 2000. An amended complaint was filed on April 19, 2002. No date has been set for the Company to respond to the complaint. Plaintiffs allege that the underwriter defendants agreed to allocate stock in the Company's June 28, 2000 initial public offering and November 16, 2000 secondary offering to certain investors in exchange for excessive and undisclosed commissions and agreements by those investors to make additional purchases of stock in the aftermarket at pre-determined prices. Plaintiffs allege that the prospectuses for these two public offerings were false and misleading in violation of the securities laws because they did not disclose these arrangements. The Company understands that over three hundred other issuers have been named as defendants in nearly identical lawsuits filed by some of the same plaintiffs' law firms. We intend to defend these actions vigorously. However, due to the inherent uncertainties of litigation, we cannot accurately predict the ultimate outcome of the litigation. Any unfavorable outcome of litigation could have a material adverse effect on the Company's financial position and results of operations.

8. Related Party Transactions

In April 2002, Mr. Eliot Protsch became a member of the Company's Board of Directors. Mr. Protsch is President of Alliant Energy-Interstate Power and Light and Executive Vice-President, Energy Delivery at Alliant Energy Corporation. Alliant Energy Resources, Inc., a subsidiary of Alliant Energy Corporation, is a distributor of the Company. There were no sales to Alliant Energy Resources, Inc. during the three months ended March 31, 2002. Sales to Alliant Energy Resources, Inc. under a firm purchase contract were approximately \$1.4 million during the three months ended June 30, 2002.

9. Net Loss Per Common Share

Basic Loss per common share is computed using the weighted-average number of common shares outstanding for the period. The impact of common stock options has not been included in the computation of diluted loss per share as their inclusion would have had an anti-dilutive effect on the per-share amounts for the periods presented; therefore, diluted loss per share is equal to basic loss per share.

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

The following discussion should be read in conjunction with the Financial Statements and Notes included in this Form 10-Q and within Capstone's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2001. When used in the following discussion, the words "believes", "anticipates", "intends", "expects" and similar expressions are intended to identify forward-looking statements. Such statements are subject to certain risks and uncertainties, which could cause actual results to differ materially from those projected. These risks include those identified under "Other Information — Business Risks" in Item 5 of this Form 10-Q. Readers are cautioned not to place undue reliance on forward-looking statements, which speak only as of the date hereof. We assume no obligation to update any of the forward-looking statements after the filing of this Form 10-Q to conform such statements to actual results or to changes in our expectations other than as required by law.

Application of Critical Accounting Policies

Our discussion and analysis of our financial condition and results of operations are based upon our consolidated financial statements, which have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America ("GAAP"). The preparation of these financial statements requires us to make estimates and judgments that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, revenues and expenses and related disclosure of contingent liabilities. On an on-going basis, we evaluate our estimates, including those related to intangible assets, fixed assets, bad debts, inventories, warranty obligations, income taxes, product returns, contingencies and litigation. We based our estimates on historical experience and on various other assumptions that are believed to be reasonable under the circumstances, the results of which form the basis for making judgments about the carrying values of assets and liabilities that are not readily apparent from other sources. Actual results may differ from these estimates under different assumptions or conditions.

We believe the following critical accounting policies affect our more significant judgments and estimates used in the preparation of the consolidated financial statements.

- We review long-lived assets, including intangible assets, for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of an asset may not be recoverable. Our intangible assets consist of a license granted to the Company to use a former supplier's intellectual property and marketing rights repurchased by the Company from a former shareholder. Long-lived assets are being depreciated or amortized over their useful lives. Future write-downs may be required if the value of these assets becomes impaired or depreciation and amortization may be accelerated if estimated useful lives are shortened. As of June 30, 2002, due to a change in our sales forecast, the Company evaluated the remaining book value of the Marketing Rights and determined that this asset was impaired based on the assessment of the expected cash flows that can be generated by this asset during its remaining term. Expected favorable margins in the latter years of the term of the Marketing Rights were not sufficient to offset losses in the early years. The recorded impairment loss was approximately \$16.0 million representing the remaining carrying value of the asset.
- Our inventories are valued at lower of cost or market. We routinely evaluate the composition of our inventory and identify slow-moving, excess, obsolete or otherwise impaired inventories. Inventories identified as impaired are evaluated to determine if reserves are required. Included in this assessment is a review for obsolescence as a result of engineering changes in our product. Future product enhancement and development may render certain inventories obsolete, resulting in additional write-downs of inventory. As of June 30, 2002, due to a change in our sales forecast, we identified \$1.8 million worth of raw material inventories in excess of our new anticipated demand level.
- We provide for the estimated cost of warranties at the time revenue from sales is recognized. We estimate warranty expenses based upon historical and projected failure rates, estimated costs of parts and labor to repair or replace a unit and the number of units covered under the warranty period. While we engage in extensive quality programs and processes, our warranty obligation is affected by failure rates and service costs in correcting failures. Should actual failure rates or service costs differ from our estimates, revisions to the warranty liability would be required. On a per unit basis, the provision for estimated products warranty expenses was significantly higher in the six months ended June 30, 2002 than a year ago. As the Company has more units commissioned and longer periods of actual performance, better data becomes available to assess expected warranty costs.
- Our revenues consist of revenue from sales of products and parts, net of discounts. We recognize revenue upon shipment of the goods to the customer. Currently, there are no rights of return privileges on product sales. Therefore, we do not establish a reserve for future returns. Our operating policy may change in the future.

- We maintain allowances for doubtful accounts for estimated losses resulting from the inability of our customers to make required
 payments. If the financial condition of our customers were to deteriorate or if other conditions arose, resulting in an impairment of their
 ability or intention to make payments, additional allowances may be required.
- We have a history of unprofitable operations. These losses generated a sizable federal and state net operating loss ("NOL") carryforward. GAAP require that we record a valuation allowance against the deferred tax asset associated with this NOL if it is "more likely than not" that we will not be able to utilize it to offset future taxes. Due to the uncertainty surrounding the timing of realizing the benefits of our favorable tax attributes in future income tax returns, we have not recognized any of our deferred tax assets. We currently provide for income taxes only to the extent that we expect to pay cash taxes, primarily state taxes. It is possible, however, that we could be profitable in the future at levels which cause management to determine that it is more likely than not that we will realize all or a portion of the NOL carryforward. Upon reaching such conclusion, we would immediately record the estimated net realizable value of the deferred tax asset at that time. Such adjustment would increase income in the period such determination was made.
- We account for contingencies in accordance with SFAS No. 5, "Accounting for Contingencies". SFAS No. 5 requires that we record an
 estimated loss from a loss contingency when information available prior to issuance of our financial statements indicates that it is
 probable that an asset has been impaired or a liability has been incurred at the date of the financial statements and the amount of the loss
 can be reasonably estimated. Accounting for contingencies such as legal matters requires us to use our judgment. Any unfavorable
 outcome of litigation or other contingencies could have an adverse impact on our financial condition and results of operations.

Overview

We develop, manufacture and market microturbine technology for use in stationary, combined heat and power ("CHP") generation, resource recovery, hybrid electric vehicle and other power and heat applications in the markets for distributed power generation. While our products serve various market applications, we are focusing our resources on the CHP market. We believe that CHP represents the largest available markets in the geographical locations we serve.

Our microturbines provide power at the site of consumption and to hybrid electric vehicles that combine a primary source battery with an auxiliary power source, such as a microturbine, to enhance performance. We expect our microturbines to provide the commercial power generation industry with clean, multifunctional, and scalable distributed power sources.

We sell complete microturbine units, subassemblies and components. In early July 2002, we released a pre-Engineered CHP Solution product, referred to as a "CHP kit", combining our microturbine with products sourced from third-parties. The microturbines and CHP kit are sold primarily through our distributors. ASPs provide installation and service. Successful implementation of the microturbine relies on the quality of the microturbine, the ability of the distributors to sell into appropriate applications, and the ASPs providing quality installations and support. As this is a new technology, we encounter quality and reliability issues along this chain. We actively work to address these issues. As we gain additional experience from more types of installations, we will have more information available for use in further improving the quality of our products and processes.

Our 30-kilowatt microturbine can be fueled by various sources including natural gas, propane, sour gas, kerosene and diesel. Our 60-kilowatt microturbine is currently available for use only with natural gas. We will continue investing significant resources to

develop new products and enhancements, including enhancements that enable greater kilowatt power production and additional fuel capabilities.

We began commercial sales of our units in 1998, targeting the emerging distributed generation industry that is being driven by fundamental changes in power requirements. Despite our product and market advantages, the rate of adoption for our technology has been slower than initially anticipated. The present economic environment, with tight restrictions for capital expenditures, also makes it significantly more challenging. In our efforts to get to a higher rate of adoption, we are working on three key areas; (i) strengthening the sales and service channels to better address our target markets, (ii) attacking barriers to adoption of our products by focusing on CHP, reducing the end-user's total installed costs, improving quality and reliability and addressing other factors such as interconnection standards, and (iii) developing strategic partners in our targeted markets which we believe can speed up the rate of adoption as well as reduce the direct financial burden of leading the charge of introducing the microturbine technology.

Since inception through June 30, 2002, we generated cumulative operating losses of approximately \$237.6 million and we expect to continue to sustain operating losses until such time that we can sell a sufficient number of units to achieve profitability. Our sales cycles vary by application and geographic region, and in many cases require long lead times between identifying customer needs and providing commercially available solutions. As a result of the difficulty in forecasting revenue levels and a lack of backlog or repeat business, we expect our quarterly performance to fluctuate. We are also a young company with respect to sales growth, and therefore period-to-period comparisons between years may not necessarily be meaningful.

The Company has undertaken efforts to reassess the market opportunities for its products and the impact of current economic conditions on penetrating those market opportunities. As a result, the Company is revising its strategic plans and has developed a revised financial model. Assuming we successfully implement our plans, and achieve the intended results, we expect it will take three to four years to get to a sales level to reach break-even. Our model shows us having sufficient cash to get to the point of cash flow positive. The change in our outlook and forecast impacted the results of operations for the three months ended June 30, 2002, as further discussed below.

Results of Operations

Three Months Ended June 30, 2002 Compared to Three Months Ended June 30, 2001

Revenues. Revenues for the three months ended June 30, 2002 decreased \$6.2 million to \$7.4 million from \$13.6 million for the three months ended June 30, 2001. A year ago, we had strong sales resulting largely from demand propelled by the United States' Western energy crisis. During the three months ended June 30, 2002, we shipped 206 units, consisting of 165 units of our 30-kilowatt products and 41 units of our 60-kilowatt products. During the three months ended June 30, 2001, we shipped 421 units, consisting of 356 units of our 30-kilowatt products and 65 units of our 60-kilowatt products. Sales to three customers totaled \$3 million, which accounted for 41% of total revenues for the three months ended June 30, 2002. Included in the \$3 million was a sale in North America of \$1.4 million for 59 units of 30-kilowatt products under a firm purchase contract. We no longer have contracts with firm purchase commitments from our customers. One customer accounted for \$2.1 million or 16% of total revenues for the three months ended June 30, 2001.

Gross Loss. Cost of goods sold includes direct material costs, production overhead, inventory charges and provision for estimated products warranty expenses. Cost of goods sold as a percentage of revenues increased to 157% for the three months ended June 30, 2002 compared to 96% for the three months ended June 30, 2001. We had a gross loss of \$4.2 million for the three months ended June 30, 2002 compared to a gross profit of \$0.6 million for the three months ended June 30, 2001. Nearly half of the gross loss in the three months ended June 30, 2002 was attributed to a \$1.8 million charge for excess inventories. As a result of a change in our sales forecast, we identified raw material inventories in excess of our new anticipated demand level. The other half of the gross profit deterioration reflected the reduced volume and increased production overhead in the three months ended June 30, 2002. The increase in production overhead related primarily to our recuperator core manufacturing facility that was placed in service in July 2001. The provision for estimated products warranty expenses decreased \$0.1 million to \$0.7 million for the three months ended June 30, 2002 from \$0.8 million for the three months ended June 30, 2001. On a per unit basis, the provision for estimated products warranty expenses was significantly higher this year than a year ago. As the Company has more units commissioned and longer periods of actual performance, better data becomes available to assess expected warranty costs. We estimate warranty expenses based upon historical and projected product failure rates, estimated costs of parts and labor to repair or replace a unit and the number of units covered under the warranty period.

We have had annual gross losses since inception. We expect this trend to continue until such time that we can sell a sufficient number of units to achieve a break-even margin.

R&D Expenses. Research and development ("R&D") expenses include compensation, the engineering department overhead allocations for administration and facilities and material costs associated with development. R&D expenses were used for developing new products and enhancements. R&D expenses are reported net of a \$1.3 million benefit for the three months ended June 30, 2002 and a \$0.3 million benefit for the three months ended June 30, 2001. These benefits arise from cost sharing programs such as the Department of Energy ("DOE") Advanced Microturbine Program. R&D expenses before benefit from cost sharing programs decreased \$0.3 million to \$2.9 million for the three months ended June 30, 2002 compared to \$3.2 million for the three months ended June 30, 2001. We believe our investments in R&D are critical to our future and we intend to continue to invest in this area and to seek additional funding relationships.

Selling, General, and Administrative Expenses. Selling, general, and administrative ("SG&A") expenses include compensation and related expenses in support of our general corporate functions, which include human resources, finance and accounting, information systems and legal services. SG&A expenses for the three months ended June 30, 2002 decreased \$1.2 million to \$9.7 million, compared to \$10.9 million for the three months ended June 30, 2001. Compared to last quarter of this year, SG&A increased \$1.3 million, reflecting timing of expenses anticipated in the year.

Impairment Loss. As of June 30, 2002, due to a change in our sales forecast, the Company evaluated the remaining book value of the Marketing Rights and determined that this asset was impaired based on the assessment of the expected cash flows that can be generated by this asset during its remaining term. Expected favorable margins in the latter years of the term of the Marketing Rights were not sufficient to offset losses in the early years. The recorded impairment loss was approximately \$16.0 million representing the remaining carrying value of the asset.

Interest Income. Interest income decreased \$2.3 million to \$0.8 million for the three months ended June 30, 2002 compared to \$3.1 million for the three months ended June 30, 2001. The decrease is primarily attributable to the lower cash balances and lower interest rates during the three months ended June 30, 2002. We expect decreasing cash balances from our use of funds will continue to diminish our interest income.

Six Months Ended June 30, 2002 Compared to Six Months Ended June 30, 2001

Revenues. Revenues for the six months ended June 30, 2002 decreased \$10.5 million to \$12.0 million from \$22.5 million for the six months ended June 30, 2001. A year ago, we had strong sales resulting largely from demand propelled by the United States' Western energy crisis. During the six months ended June 30, 2002, we shipped 333 units, consisting of 264 units of our 30-kilowatt products and 69 units of our 60-kilowatt products. During the six months ended June 30, 2001, we shipped 728 units, consisting of 653 units of our 30-kilowatt products and 75 units of our 60-kilowatt products. Revenues and margins for the six months ended June 30, 2002 were reported net of \$569,000 and \$79,000, respectively, that resulted from the repossession of 20 units of our 30-kilowatt products from a distributor in Africa. Sales to four customers totaled \$6.5 million, which accounted for 54% of total revenues for the six months ended June 30, 2002. One customer accounted for \$3.7 million or 16% of total revenues for the six months ended June 30, 2001.

Gross Loss. Cost of goods sold as a percentage of revenues increased to 160% for the six months ended June 30, 2002 compared to 96% for the six months ended June 30, 2001. We had a gross loss of \$7.2 million for the six months ended June 30, 2002 compared to a gross profit of \$0.9 million for the six months ended June 30, 2001. Nearly a third of the gross loss in the six months ended June 30, 2002 was attributed to a \$1.8 million charge for excess inventories. As a result of a change in sales forecast, we identified raw material inventories in excess of our new anticipated demand level. Almost two-thirds of the gross profit deterioration reflected the reduced volume and increased production overhead in the six months ended June 30, 2002. The increase in production overhead related primarily to our recuperator core manufacturing facility that that was placed in service in July 2001. The provision for estimated products warranty expenses increased \$0.1 million to \$1.5 million for the six months ended June 30, 2002 from \$1.4 million for the six months ended June 30, 2001. On a per unit basis, the provision for estimated products warranty expenses was significantly higher this year than a year ago. As the Company has more units commissioned and longer periods of actual performance, better data becomes available to assess expected warranty costs.

R&D Expenses. R&D expenses are reported net of a \$2.6 million benefit for the six months ended June 30, 2002 and a \$0.5 million benefit for the six months ended June 30, 2001 from cost sharing programs such as the DOE Advanced Microturbine Program. R&D expenses before benefit from cost sharing programs decreased \$0.5 million to \$5.6 million for the six months ended June 30, 2002 compared to \$6.1 million for the six months ended June 30, 2001. We expect R&D expenses to be higher in the next half of 2002 than the first half.

Selling, General, and Administrative Expenses. SG&A expenses for the six months ended June 30, 2002 decreased \$2.8 million to \$18.0 million, compared to \$20.8 million for the six months ended June 30, 2001. This decrease was generally attributable to pre-production costs in the six months ended June 30, 2001 associated with our core manufacturing facility which were recorded in SG&A.

We expect that our operating costs and expenses, excluding impairment loss, for this year to be roughly 10% below the 2001 level.

Impairment Loss. As of June 30, 2002, due to a change in our sales forecast, the Company evaluated the remaining book value of the Marketing Rights and determined that this asset was impaired based on the assessment of the expected cash flows that can be generated by this asset during its remaining term. Expected favorable margins in the latter years of the term of the Marketing Rights were not sufficient to offset losses in the early years. The recorded impairment loss was approximately \$16.0 million, representing the remaining carrying value of the asset.

Interest Income. Interest income decreased \$4.5 million to \$1.6 million for the six months ended June 30, 2002 compared to \$6.1 million for the six months ended June 30, 2001. The decrease is primarily attributable to the lower cash balances and lower interest rates during the six months ended June 30, 2002.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Our cash requirements depend on many factors, including our product development activities, our production expansion and our commercialization efforts. We expect to devote substantial capital resources to continue the development of our sales and marketing programs and continue our R&D activities. We believe that our current cash balance of \$155 million is sufficient to fund operating losses and commitments to get us to the point of cash flow positive.

Inventory decreased \$5.1 million to \$16.9 million as of June 30, 2002 compared to \$22.0 million as of December 31, 2001. Inventory is reported net of reserves of \$5.4 million as of June 30, 2002 and \$3.2 million as of December 31, 2001. The increase of \$2.2 million in reserve included the \$1.8 million provision for excess inventories recorded in the three months ended June 30, 2002 due to a change in forecasted sales.

Our net cash used in operating activities decreased to \$14.0 million for the six months ended June 30, 2002, compared to \$25.1 million for the six months ended June 30, 2001. Our net cash used in operating activities for the six months ended June 30, 2002 was primarily from the net loss, adjusted on a cash basis, of \$17.0 million, offset by changes in working capital of \$3.0 million. Our net cash used in operating activities for the six months ended June 30, 2001 was primarily from the net loss, adjusted on a cash basis, of \$13.1 million and a change in working capital of \$12.0 million.

Net cash used in investing activities was \$1.3 million for the six months ended June 30, 2002 compared to \$12.5 million for the six months ended June 30, 2001. Investing activities for the six months ended June 30, 2002 consisted of equipment purchases. Investing activities for the six months ended June 30, 2001 primarily consisted of equipment purchases, intangible asset purchases and leasehold improvements associated with our recuperator core manufacturing facility.

Our net cash used in financing activities of \$0.4 million for the six months ended June 30, 2002 was for repayment of capital lease obligations of \$0.6 million, offset by \$0.2 million from exercise of stock options and employee stock purchases. Our net cash provided by financing activities of \$1.0 million for the six months ended June 30, 2001 was from \$1.9 million from exercise of stock options and employee stock purchases, offset by repayment of capital lease obligations of \$0.8 million and stock issuance cost of \$0.1 million. We have financed our operations and investing activities primarily through private and public equity issuances. The source of our cash balance of \$155 million as of June 30, 2002 was primarily provided by financing activities during 2000 from the issuance of common stock in our initial public offering with net proceeds of \$153.6 million and the issuance of common stock in our secondary offering with net proceeds of \$19.6 million. We have invested our cash in an institutional fund that invests in high quality short-term money market instruments to provide liquidity for operations and for capital preservation. In addition, we use capital lease commitments to sell and leaseback various fixed assets. As of June 30, 2002, we had \$3.2 million outstanding under various capital leases with Transamerica. Repayment of capital obligations will continue through 2004. There have been no material changes in our remaining commitments under non-cancelable operating leases and capital leases as disclosed in the Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2001. As of June 30, 2002, we had firm purchase commitments of approximately \$5 million with our suppliers.

Item 3. Qualitative and Quantitative Disclosures About Market Risk

We do not currently use derivative financial instruments for speculative purposes that expose us to market risk.

Foreign Currency

We currently develop products in the United States and market our products predominantly in North America, Asia and Europe. As a result, factors such as changes in foreign currency exchange rates or weak economic conditions in foreign markets could affect our financial results. As all of our sales and supplies are currently made in U.S. Dollars, we do not utilize foreign exchange contracts to reduce our exposure to foreign currency fluctuations. We have two employees in Sweden. Payroll and related benefits and other office expenses are paid in the country's local currency. In the future, as our customers, employees and vendor bases expand, we anticipate that we will enter into additional transactions that are denominated in foreign currencies.

Interest

We have no long-term debt outstanding, except for capital leases, and do not use any derivative financial instruments. We have invested our cash in an institutional fund that invests in high quality short-term money market instruments.

Inflation

We do not believe that inflation has had a material effect on our financial position or results of operations. However, we cannot predict the future effects of inflation, including interest rate fluctuations and market fluctuations.

Impact of Recently Issued Accounting Standards

In July 2001, SFAS No. 142, "Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets" was issued by the Financial Accounting Standards Board. SFAS 142 applies to all acquired intangible assets whether acquired singly, as part of a group, or in a business combination. SFAS 142 specifies that goodwill and indefinite lived intangible assets will no longer be amortized but instead will be subject to periodic impairment testing. Intangible assets with a determinable useful life will continue to be amortized over that period. The Company adopted SFAS 142 effective January 1, 2002. The adoption of SFAS 142 did not have a significant impact on the Company's consolidated financial position or results of operations.

In August 2001, SFAS No. 144, "Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of Long-Lived Assets", was issued by the Financial Accounting Standards Board. SFAS 144 addresses the financial accounting and reporting issues for the impairment or disposal of long-lived assets. This statement supersedes SFAS 121 but retains the fundamental provisions for (a) recognition/measurement of impairment of long-lived assets to be held and used and (b) measurement of long-lived assets to be disposed of by sales. The Company adopted SFAS 144 effective January 1, 2002. The initial adoption of SFAS 144 did not have a significant impact on the Company's consolidated financial position or results of operations for the three months ended March 31, 2002. As of June 30, 2002, due to a change in our sales forecast, the Company evaluated the remaining book value of the Marketing Rights and determined that this asset was impaired based on the assessment of the expected cash flows that can be generated by this asset during its remaining term. The Company recorded an impairment loss of \$16.0 million in the three months ended June 30, 2002.

In July 2002, SFAS No. 146, "Accounting for Costs Associated with Exit or Disposal Activities", was issued by the Financial Accounting Standards Board. SFAS No. 146 requires companies to recognize costs associated with exit or disposal activities when they are incurred rather than at the date of a commitment to an exit or disposal plan. Examples of costs covered by the standard include lease termination costs and certain employee severance costs that are associated with a restructuring, discontinued operation, plant closing, or other exit or disposal activity. SFAS No. 146 is to be applied prospectively to exit or disposal activities initiated after December 31, 2002.

PART II — OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1. Legal Proceedings

The Company is subject to legal proceedings, claims and litigation arising in the ordinary course of business. While outcome of any of these matters is currently not determinable, management does not expect that the ultimate costs to resolve these matters will have a material adverse effect on the Company's financial position and results of operations.

In December 2001, a purported shareholder class action lawsuit was filed in the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York against the Company, two of its officers, and the underwriters of our initial public offering. The suit purports to be a class action filed on behalf of purchasers of our common stock during the period from June 28, 2000 to December 6, 2000. An amended complaint was filed on April 19, 2002. No date has been set for the Company to respond to the complaint. Plaintiffs allege that the underwriter defendants agreed to allocate stock in the Company's June 28, 2000 initial public offering and November 16, 2000 secondary offering to certain investors in exchange for excessive and undisclosed commissions and agreements by those investors to make additional purchases of stock in the aftermarket at pre-determined prices. Plaintiffs allege that the prospectuses for these two public offerings were false and misleading in violation of the securities laws because they did not disclose these arrangements. The Company understands that over three hundred other issuers have been named as defendants in nearly identical lawsuits filed by some of the same plaintiffs' law firms. We intend to defend these actions vigorously. However, due to the inherent uncertainties of litigation, we cannot accurately predict the ultimate outcome of the litigation. Any unfavorable outcome of litigation could have an adverse impact on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

Item 2. Changes in Securities and Use of Proceeds

On July 5, 2000, we completed the initial public offering of our common stock. The shares of common stock sold in the offering were registered under the Securities Act on a Registration Statement on Form S-1/A (No. 333-33024). The Securities and Exchange Commission declared the Registration Statement effective on June 28, 2000.

In our initial public offering, we sold an aggregate of 10,454,545 shares our common stock for net proceeds of approximately \$153.6 million. Since our initial public offering, we have used cash, which included proceeds from a previous offering of the Series G preferred stock, to purchase tooling and manufacturing equipment of \$26.2 million, to repurchase marketing rights of \$11.0 million and to fund operating activities of \$78.5 million, including sales and marketing and research and development. As of June 30, 2002, remaining net proceeds from the offering were primarily held in cash equivalents. With the exception of marketing rights acquired from Fletcher Challenge Limited and payments of compensation in the ordinary course of business to officers and directors, none of the net proceeds of the offering were paid, directly or indirectly, to any director or officer of Capstone or any of their associates, or to persons owning ten percent or more of any class of our equity securities, or any affiliates.

Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities

None

Item 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders

- (a) The annual meeting of stockholders of the Company was held on May 30, 2002.
- (b) All director nominees were elected.

(c) Certain matters voted upon at the meeting and the votes cast with respect to such matters are as follows:

	Votes Cast				
	For	Against	Abstain	Broker Non-votes	
Management Proposals Proposal to approve an amendment to the Company's 2000 Equity Incentive Plan to increase the number of shares					
available for grant by 400,000 shares	54,342,099	5,309,895	123,445	200	
Election of Directors					
Director	For	Withheld			
Ake Almgren	52,747,921	7,027,718			
Richard Aube	58,152,461	1,623,178			
Eliot Protsch	58,200,496	1,575,143			
John Jaggers	58,362,969	1,412,670			
Jean-Rene Marcoux	58,345,706	1,429,933			
John G. McDonald	58,105,032	1,670,607			
Eric Young	58,144,612	1,631,027			

Item 5. Other Information-Business Risks

This document contains certain forward-looking statements (as such term is defined in Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended (the "Securities Act") and Section 21E of the Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the "Exchange Act")) pertaining to, among other things, Capstone's future results of operations, R&D activities, sales expectations, our ability to develop the CHP market, sources for parts, federal, state and local regulations, and general business, industry and economic conditions applicable to Capstone. These statements are based largely on Capstone's current expectations and are subject to a number of risks and uncertainties. Actual results could differ materially from these forward-looking statements. Factors that can cause actual results to differ materially include, but are not limited to, those discussed below. Readers are cautioned not to place undue reliance on these forward-looking statements, which speak only as of the date hereof. The following factors should be considered in addition to the other information contained herein in evaluating Capstone and its business. We assume no obligation to update any of the forward-looking statements after the filing of this Form 10-Q to conform such statements to actual results or to changes in our expectations except as required by law.

We have a limited operating history characterized by net losses, we anticipate continued losses and we may never become profitable.

Since our inception in 1988, we have reported net losses for each year. Our net losses were \$29.5 million in 1999, \$31.4 million in 2000, \$46.9 million in 2001 and \$42.9 million in the six months ended June 30, 2002. We expect this trend to continue until such time that we can sell a sufficient number of units to achieve profitability. We have only been commercially producing Capstone MicroTurbines since December 1998. Also, because we are in the early stages of selling our products, we have relatively few customers and limited repeat business. Even if we do achieve profitability, we may be unable to increase our sales and sustain or increase our profitability in the future.

If we do not effectively implement our sales and marketing expansion program, our sales will not grow and our profitability will suffer.

We have increased our internal sales and marketing staff in order to enhance our sales efforts. We cannot assure you that the expense of such internal expansion will not exceed the net revenues generated, or that our sales and marketing team will successfully compete against the more extensive and well-funded sales and marketing operations of our current and future competitors. We have also decided to focus our resources on the CHP market. We may change our focus to other markets or applications in the future. There can be no assurance that our CHP focus will be successful. If we are not able to successfully address this market, we may not be able to grow our business, compete effectively or achieve profitability. Our inability to recruit, or our loss of, important sales and marketing personnel, or the inability of new sales personnel to effectively sell and market our microturbine system could have a material adverse effect on our business and results of operations.

A sustainable market for microturbines may never develop or may take longer to develop than we anticipate, which would adversely impact our revenues and profitability.

Our products represent an emerging market, and we do not know whether our targeted customers will accept our technology or will purchase our products in sufficient quantities to grow our business. If a sustainable market fails to develop or develops more slowly than we anticipate, we may be unable to recover the losses we have incurred to develop our products, we may have further impairment of assets, we may be unable to meet our operational expenses and we may be unable to achieve profitability. The development of a sustainable market for our systems may be impacted by many factors including some which are out of our control. Examples are:

- the cost competitiveness of our microturbines;
- costs associated with the installation and commissioning of our microturbines by third parties;
- the future costs and availability of fuels used by our microturbines;
- · consumer reluctance to try a new product;
- · consumer perceptions of our microturbines' safety and quality;
- · regulatory requirements;
- · economic downturns; and
- the emergence of newer, more competitive technologies and products.

Our competitors, who have significantly greater resources than we have, may be able to adapt more quickly to new or emerging technologies or to devote greater resources to the promotion and sale of their products, and we may be unable to compete effectively.

Our competitors include several well-established companies that have substantially greater resources than we have and worldwide presence. Ingersoll-Rand Company and Elliott Power Systems are domestically based competitors of Capstone that benefit from larger economies of scale and who have microturbines in various stages of development and commercialization. Ingersoll-Rand Company announced in December 2001 that it has begun taking commercial orders for its first line of commercially available microturbine units. In addition to these domestic microturbine competitors, Turbec, a joint venture in Europe of AB Volvo and ABB Ltd., develops, produces and sells microturbines. Turbec's first product, a combined heat and power microturbine, is available. A number of other major automotive and industrial companies have in-house microturbine development efforts, including Ishikawajima-Harima Heavy Industries, Mitsubishi Heavy Industries, Ltd., Turbo Genset Inc. and Kawasaki Heavy Industries. We believe that all of these companies will eventually have products that will compete with our microturbines. Some of our competitors are currently developing and testing microturbines which they expect to produce greater amounts of power than Capstone MicroTurbines, ranging from 75 kilowatts up to 350 kilowatts, and which may have longer useful lives than Capstone MicroTurbines. Capstone MicroTurbines also compete with other existing technologies, including the electric utility grid, reciprocating engines, fuel cells and solar systems. Many of the competitors producing these technologies also have greater resources than we have. For instance, reciprocating engines are produced by, among others, Caterpillar Inc., Interstate Companies and Cummins Inc. We cannot assure you that the market for distributed power generation products will not ultimately be dominated by technologies other than ours.

Because of greater resources, some of our competitors may be able to adapt more quickly to new or emerging technologies and changes in customer requirements, to devote greater resources to the promotion and sale of their products than we can or introduce

governmental regulations and policies to create competitive advantage vis-à-vis our products. We believe that developing and maintaining a competitive advantage will require continued investment by us in product development, manufacturing capability and sales and marketing. We cannot assure you that we will have sufficient resources to make the necessary investments to do so. In addition, current and potential competitors have established or may in the future establish collaborative relationships among themselves or with third parties, including third parties with whom we have strategic relationships. Accordingly, new competitors or alliances may emerge and rapidly acquire significant market share

Our suppliers and manufacturers may not supply us with a sufficient amount of components or components of adequate quality, and we may not be able to produce our product.

Although we generally attempt to use standard parts and components for our products, some of our components are currently available only from limited sources. We may experience delays in production if we fail to identify alternative vendors, or any parts supply is interrupted, each of which could materially adversely affect our business and operations. In order to reduce manufacturing lead times and ensure adequate component supply, we enter into agreements with certain supply partners that allow these partners to procure inventory based upon criteria defined by us. A reduction in supply, a significant increase in price of one or more components or a decrease in demand of products could materially adversely affect our business and operations and could materially damage our customer relationships. Also, we cannot guarantee that any of the parts or components that we purchase will be of adequate quality or that the prices we pay for these parts or components will not increase. Our inability to meet volume commitments with suppliers could affect the availability or pricing of our parts and components.

Changes in government regulations and the electric utility industry restructuring may affect demand for our microturbines.

The market for electricity and generation products is heavily influenced by federal and state government regulations and policies. The deregulation and restructuring of the electric industry in the United States and elsewhere may aid the desirability of alternative power sources. However, problems associated with such deregulation and restructuring, including in California, may cause rule changes that may reduce or eliminate advantages of such deregulation and restructuring. We cannot predict how the deregulation and the restructuring of the electric utility industry will ultimately affect the market for our microturbines. Additional competition from utilities and other power sources that may take advantage of these regulations could diminish the demand for our products. Changes in regulatory standards or policies could reduce the level of investment in the research and development of alternative power sources, including microturbines.

The economic downturn and the global climate has made potential customers hesitant to make capital expenditures.

The global economic climate has made potential customers hesitant to make capital expenditures. As a result, we have seen reluctance on the part of potentially large customers to buy our products. As a result of the economic uncertainty and the desire by companies to tighten capital expenditures, along with fluctuations in energy prices and political disruptions or higher interest rates, we may not be able to sustain or expand our customer base and sales, which would negatively impact our financial position and results of operations.

We may not be able to control our warranty exposure and our warranty reserve may not be sufficient to meet our warranty expense, which could impair our financial condition.

We sell our products with warranties. However, these warranties vary from product to product with respect to the time period covered and the extent of the warranty protection. For example, in July 2002, we launched our CHP Kit with a special limited-time promotion offering a maximum maintenance cost guarantee. This limited promotion is aimed at removing some of the perceived technical risk of adopting our product. While we have assessed the risk based on best available information to be minimal, unforeseen malfunctions of the microturbine or components of the kit sourced from third parties could expose us to significant warranty expenses. We have limited experience with the operating performance of these third party components and may not have adequately assessed the risks to provide maintenance cost guarantees on a product that contain these parts. Further, because we are in the early stages of production for all our products, we cannot be certain that we have adequately determined our warranty exposure. While management believes that the provision for estimated product warranty expenses made at the time of sale is reasonable, there can be no assurance that the provision will be sufficient to cover our warranty expenses in the future. Although we attempt to reduce our risk of warranty claims through warranty disclaimers, we cannot assure you that our efforts will effectively limit our liability. Any significant incurrence of warranty expense in excess of estimates could have a material adverse effect on our operating results and financial position.

Our product quality may not meet customer expectations and can have adverse consequences to market acceptance and our costs incurred due to our warranty exposure.

We continue to improve the quality of our products by setting quality targets and improvement initiatives. Engineering changes to improve our products may render existing inventories obsolete or excessive. However, our product quality may still not meet customer expectations which can affect the market acceptance of our products and have adverse consequences to our costs incurred. Any significant quality issues with our products could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations and financial position. Moreover, as we develop new configurations for our microturbines or as our customers place existing configurations in commercial use for long periods of time, we expect to experience product malfunctions that cause our products to fall below our 98% availability target level. While certain microturbines have achieved this availability target when using high-pressure natural gas, we are still working to achieve this availability target across all of our units and for all fuel sources. Any significant malfunctions could adversely effect our operating results and financial position and affect the marketability of our products.

Our ability to identify Authorized Service Providers ("ASPs") can significantly impact our success.

Our ability to identify and develop business relationships with ASPs who can provide quality, cost effective installations and service can significantly impact our success. We need to reduce the total installed cost of our microturbines to enhance market opportunities. Our inability to improve our ASP's quality of installation and commissioning standards while reducing associated cost could affect the marketability of our products.

Our success depends in significant part upon the service of management and key employees.

Our success depends in significant part upon the service of our executive officers, senior management, and sales and technical personnel. We have undergone numerous personnel changes in all levels of the organization. The failure of management and other personnel to fully integrate into our operations and to execute our strategy, and our failure to retain such management and personnel, could have a material adverse effect on our business. Our success will be dependent on our continued ability to attract, retain and motivate highly skilled employees, who are in great demand. There can be no assurance that we can do so.

We may not be able to develop strategic partners and service channels in our targeted markets, in which case our sales would not increase as expected.

We continue to develop our distribution network. In order to expand our customer base, we believe that we must enter into strategic sales and marketing alliances or similar collaborative relationships, in which we ally ourselves with companies that have particular expertise in or more extensive access to desirable markets. We believe that developing strategic partners in our targeted markets can improve the rate of adoption as well as reduce the direct financial burden of introducing a new technology and creating a new market. We may provide volume price discounts or otherwise incur significant costs that may reduce the potential profitability of these relationships. We may not be able to identify appropriate distributors or strategic partners on a timely basis, and we cannot assure you that the partners with which we form alliances will focus adequate resources on selling our products or will be successful in selling them. In addition, some of the relationships may require that we grant exclusive distribution rights in defined territories. These exclusive distribution arrangements could result in us being unable to enter into other arrangements at a time when the distributor or partner with whom we form a relationship is not successful in selling our products or has reduced its commitments to market our products. We cannot assure you that we will be able to negotiate collaborative relationships on favorable terms or at all. The inability of the Company to develop strategic partners or a lack of success of our strategic partners in marketing our products may adversely affect our financial condition and results of operations.

We have limited experience in international sales and may not succeed in growing our international sales.

We have limited experience in international sales and will depend on our international marketing partners for these sales. Most of our marketing partnerships were recently created and, accordingly, may not achieve the results that we expect. If a dispute arises between us and any of our partners, we may not achieve our desired sales results and we may be delayed or completely fail to penetrate some international markets, and our revenue and operations could be materially adversely affected. Any inability to obtain foreign regulatory approvals or quality standard certifications on a timely basis could negatively impact our business and results of operations. Also, as we seek to expand into the international markets, customers may have difficulty or be unable to integrate our products into their existing systems. As a result, our products may require redesign. Any redesign of the product may delay sales or cause quality issues. In addition, we may be subject to a variety of other risks associated with international business, including:

- delays in establishing international distribution channels;
- difficulties in collecting international accounts receivables;
- difficulties in complying with foreign regulatory and commercial requirements;
- · increased costs associated with maintaining international marketing efforts;
- compliance with U.S. Department of Commerce export controls;
- · increases in duty rates;
- the introduction of non-tariff trade barriers;
- · fluctuations in currency exchange rates;
- · global political and economic instability; and
- difficulties in enforcement of intellectual property rights.

The 60-kilowatt Capstone MicroTurbine may not reach the level of sales that we anticipate or it may erode sales of our 30-kilowatt unit.

As of June 30, 2002, we sold 315 units of our 60-kilowatt family of products. We cannot guarantee that demand for our 60-kilowatt unit will not diminish over time. It is also possible that production of the 60-kilowatt unit could replace or diminish the sales of our 30-kilowatt unit. If so, the sales of our 30-kilowatt unit would be adversely affected.

We may be unable to fund our future operating requirements, which could force us to curtail our operations.

We are a capital-intensive company and may need additional financing to fund our operations. In 2000, our net cash used in operations was \$23.8 million and our net cash used in investing activities totaled \$26.9 million. In 2001, our net cash used in operations was \$49.8 million and our net cash used in investing activities totaled \$17.4 million. During the six months ended June 30, 2002, our net cash used in operations was \$14 million and we used \$1.3 million for investing activities. As of June 30, 2002, we had approximately \$155 million in cash and cash equivalents. Our future capital requirements will depend on many factors, including our ability to successfully market and sell our products. To the extent that the funds we now have on hand are insufficient to fund our future operating requirements, we will need to raise additional funds, through further public or private equity or debt financings. These financings may not be available or, if available, may be on terms that are not favorable to us and could result in further dilution to our stockholders. Downturns in worldwide capital markets may also impede our ability to raise additional capital on favorable terms or at all. If adequate capital were not available to us, we would likely be required to significantly curtail or possibly even cease our operations.

We may not be able to effectively predict or react to rapid technological changes that could render our products obsolete.

The market for our products is characterized by rapidly changing technologies, extensive research and new product introductions. We believe that our future success will depend in large part upon our ability to enhance our existing products and to develop, introduce and market new products. As a result, we expect to continue to make a significant investment in product development. We have in the past experienced setbacks in the development of our products and our anticipated roll out of our products has accordingly been delayed. If we are unable to develop and introduce new products or enhancements to our existing products that satisfy customer needs and address technological changes in target markets in a timely manner, our products will become noncompetitive or obsolete.

We may not achieve production cost reductions necessary to competitively price our product, which would impair our sales.

We believe that we will need to reduce the unit production cost of our products over time to maintain our ability to offer competitively priced products. Our ability to achieve cost reductions will depend on our ability to develop low cost design

enhancements, to obtain necessary tooling and favorable vendor contracts, as well as to increase sales volumes so we can achieve economies of scale. We cannot assure you that we will be able to achieve any production cost reductions. Our failure to achieve such cost reductions could have a material adverse effect on our business and results of operations.

Termination of certain Supply and Distribution Agreements may require us to repurchase parts inventory.

We have certain Supply and Distribution Agreements and ASP agreements that upon termination under specified conditions require us to repurchase particular elements of their parts inventories. To date, these conditions have never arisen and we believe that the amounts of such inventories currently are not significant. It is possible, however, that in the future such conditions could occur that would require such repurchases. These repurchases could result in higher prices for the repurchased parts inventory than would otherwise be required to secure such quantities or could result in excess quantities of some parts inventory. In addition, certain ASP agreements require us to provide service to the customers of the ASP upon termination of the ASP agreement under specified conditions, until such time that we can identify and transfer the obligation to a new ASP. Since we do not have control over the terms of such third party service agreements, we may be exposed to significant risks and expenses that we cannot adequately quantify. To date these conditions have never arisen, however any significant exposure from such third party service agreements in the future could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations and financial position.

Our products involve a lengthy sales cycle and we may not anticipate sales levels appropriately, which could impair our profitability.

The sale of our products typically involves a significant commitment of capital by customers, with the attendant delays frequently associated with large capital expenditures. For these and other reasons, the sales cycle associated with our products is typically lengthy and subject to a number of significant risks over which we have little or no control. We expect to plan our production and inventory levels based on internal forecasts of customer demand, which is highly unpredictable and can fluctuate substantially. If sales in any period fall significantly below anticipated levels, our financial condition and results of operations could suffer. If demand in any period increases well above anticipated levels, we may have difficulties in responding, incur greater costs to respond, or be unable to fulfill the demand in sufficient time to retain the order. In addition, our operating expenses are based on anticipated sales levels, and a high percentage of our expenses are generally fixed in the short term. As a result of these factors, a small fluctuation in timing of sales can cause operating results to vary from period to period.

We face potentially significant fluctuations in operating results, which could impact our stock price.

As a result of variety of factors discussed herein, operating results for a particular quarter are difficult to predict. Given the continued uncertainty surrounding many variables that may impact the industry in which we operate, our visibility into future periods is limited. Our net sales may grow at a slower rate than experienced in the past periods and, in particular periods or overall, may decline. A number of factors could affect our operating results and thereby impact our stock price, including:

- the timing of the introduction or enhancement of products by us or our competitors;
- quality of installation and commissioning of our products by our ASPs and customers;
- our reliance on a small number of customers;
- the lack of order backlog;
- the size, timing, shipment and pricing of individual orders;
- market acceptance of new products;
- potential delays in production as a result of the commencement of our manufacturing of recuperator cores;
- customers delaying orders of our products because of the anticipated release of new products by us or our competitors;
- changes in our operating expenses, the mix of products sold, or product pricing;
- the ability of our suppliers to deliver quality parts when we need them;

- development of our direct and indirect sales channels;
- change in management and loss of key personnel;
- political unrest or changes in the trade policies, tariffs or other regulations of countries in which we do business that could lower demand for our products; and
- changes in market prices for natural resources that could lower the desirability of our products.

Because we are in the early stages of selling our products, with relatively few customers, we expect our order flow to continue to be uneven from period to period. In addition, we do not have an established base of customers that we can rely on for repeat sales. Because a significant portion of our expenses is fixed, a small variation in the timing of recognition of revenue can cause significant variations in operating results from quarter to quarter. Any of the above factors could have a material adverse effect on our operations and financial results.

We may not effectively expand our production capabilities, which would negatively impact our sales.

We anticipate growth in our business operations, which may require expansion of our internal and external production capabilities. We may experience delays or problems in our expected production expansion that could significantly impact our business. Several factors could delay or prevent our expected production expansion, including our:

- inability to purchase parts or components in adequate quantities or sufficient quality;
- failure to increase our assembly and test operations;
- failure to hire and train additional personnel;
- failure to develop and implement manufacturing processes and equipment;
- inability to find and train proper partner companies with whom we can build product distribution, marketing, or development relationships;
- · inability to manufacture recuperator cores on schedule, in quantities or with the quality that we require; and
- inability to acquire new space on favorable terms for additional production capacity.

Potential intellectual property, shareholder or other litigation may adversely impact our business.

Because of the nature of our business, we may face litigation relating to intellectual property matters, labor matters, product liability and shareholder disputes. For example, in December 2001, a purported shareholder class action lawsuit was filed in the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York against the Company, two of its officers, and the underwriters of our initial public offering. The suit purports to be a class action filed on behalf of purchasers of our common stock during the period from June 28, 2000 to December 6, 2000. An amended complaint was filed on April 19, 2002. No date has been set for the Company to respond to the complaint. Plaintiffs allege that the underwriter defendants agreed to allocate stock in the Company's June 28, 2000 initial public offering and November 16, 2000 secondary offering to certain investors in exchange for excessive and undisclosed commissions and agreements by those investors to make additional purchases of stock in the aftermarket at pre-determined prices. Plaintiffs allege that the prospectuses for these two public offerings were false and misleading in violation of the securities laws because they did not disclose these arrangements. The Company understands that over three hundred other issuers have been named as defendants in nearly identical lawsuits filed by some of the same plaintiffs' law firms. We intend to defend these actions vigorously. However, due to the inherent uncertainties of litigation, we cannot accurately predict the ultimate outcome of the litigation. Any unfavorable outcome of litigation could have an adverse impact on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

Our intellectual property is one of our principal assets. A negative outcome in a litigation relating to our intellectual property could have a material adverse effect on our business and operating results. An adverse judgment could negatively impact the price of

our common stock and our ability to obtain future financing on favorable terms or at all. Any litigation could be costly, divert management attention or result in increased costs of doing business.

We operate in a highly competitive market and may not be able to compete effectively due to factors affecting the market for our products.

The market for our products is highly competitive and is changing rapidly. We believe that the primary competitive factors affecting the market for our products include:

- operating efficiency;
- · reliability;
- · product quality and performance;
- life cycle costs;
- · development of new products and features;
- quality and experience of sales, marketing and service organizations;
- · availability and price of fuel;
- · product price;
- · emissions levels;
- · name recognition; and
- · quality of distribution channels.

Several of these factors are outside our control. We cannot assure you that we will be able to compete successfully in the future with respect to these or any other competitive factors.

In addition, competing technologies may get certain benefits, like governmental subsidies or promotion that we do not enjoy or do not benefit from to the same extent. This could enhance their abilities to fund research or penetrate markets.

Utility companies could place barriers to our entry into the marketplace and we may not be able to effectively sell our product.

Utility companies commonly charge fees to industrial customers for disconnecting from the grid, for using less electricity, or for having the capacity to use power from the grid for back-up purposes. These types of fees could increase the cost to our potential customers for using our systems and could make our systems less desirable, thereby harming our revenue and profitability potential.

We depend on our intellectual property to make our products competitive and if we are unable to protect our intellectual property, our business will suffer.

We rely on a combination of patent, trade secret, copyright and trademark law, and nondisclosure agreements to establish and protect our intellectual property rights in our products. In particular, we believe that our patents and patents pending for our air-bearing systems, DPC and our combustion systems are key to our business. We believe that, due to the rapid pace of technological innovation in turbine products, our ability to establish and maintain a position among the technology leaders in the industry depends on both our patents and other intellectual property and the skills of our development personnel. We cannot assure you that any patent, trademark, copyright or license owned or held by us will not be invalidated, circumvented or challenged, that the rights granted thereunder will provide competitive advantages to us or that any of our future patent applications will be issued with the scope of the claims asserted by us, if at all. Further, we cannot assure you that third parties or competitors will not develop technologies that are similar or superior to our technology, including our air bearing technology, duplicate our technology or design around our patents. Also, another party may be able to reverse engineer our technology and discover our intellectual property and trade secrets. We may

be subject to or may initiate proceedings in the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, which can require significant financial and management resources or require us to develop a non-infringing technology or enter into royalty or license agreements. In addition, the laws of foreign countries in which our products are or may be developed, manufactured or sold may not protect our products and intellectual property rights to the same extent as the laws of the United States. Our inability to protect our intellectual property adequately could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition or results of operations.

If we are found to infringe upon the intellectual property rights of others, we may not be able to produce our products or may have to enter into costly license agreements.

Third parties may claim infringement by us with respect to past, current or future proprietary rights. In particular, General Electric, Honeywell, United Technologies and Solar Turbines Incorporated have patents in areas related to our business and core technologies. Any infringement claim, whether meritorious or not, could be time-consuming, result in costly litigation or arbitration and diversion of technical and management personnel or require us to develop non-infringing technology or to enter into royalty or licensing agreements. Royalty or licensing agreements, if required, may not be available on terms acceptable to us, or at all, and could significantly harm our business and operating results. Litigation may also be necessary in the future to enforce our patent or other intellectual property rights, to protect our trade secrets and to determine the validity and scope of proprietary rights of others. For example, in 1997, we were involved in a dispute with Honeywell (AlliedSignal) regarding various disputed intellectual property rights. We entered into a settlement agreement regarding these issues. These types of disputes could result in substantial costs and diversion of resources and could materially adversely affect our financial condition and results of operations.

If we are unable to manufacture recuperator cores internally, our assembly and production of microturbines may suffer delays and interruptions.

Solar Turbines Incorporated was our sole supplier of recuperator cores, which are heat exchangers that preheat incoming air before it enters the combustion chamber and are an essential component of our microturbines. In 2001, we started to manufacture recuperator cores under contractual rights to use Solar's intellectual property. We cannot assure you that we will be able to successfully implement this technology in developing a sustainable manufacturing process, as we are not experienced in manufacturing recuperator cores. Inherent in the manufacturing process are a number of risks. Manufacturing of the recuperator cores is a complex process requiring high levels of innovation and skill. At present, we are not aware of any other supplier that could produce these cores to our specifications within our time requirements. Our inability to manufacture recuperator cores could have a material adverse effect on the Company's operating results.

We operate in a highly regulated business environment and changes in regulation could impose costs on us or make our products less economical.

Our products are subject to federal, state, local and foreign laws and regulations, governing, among other things, emissions to air as well as laws relating to occupational health and safety. Regulatory agencies may impose special requirements for implementation and operation of our products (e.g., connection with the electric grid) or may significantly impact or even eliminate some of our target markets. We may incur material costs or liabilities in complying with government regulations. In addition, potentially significant expenditures could be required in order to comply with evolving environmental and health and safety laws, regulations and requirements that may be adopted or imposed in the future. Furthermore, our potential utility customers must comply with numerous laws and regulations. The deregulation of the utility industry may also create challenges for our marketing efforts. For example, as part of electric utility deregulation, federal, state and local governmental authorities may impose transitional charges or exit fees, which would make it less economical for some potential customers to switch to our products. Further, our ability to penetrate the Japanese market will depend on our receipt of approvals and changes to regulatory requirements surrounding power generation by Japan's Ministry of International Trade and Industry, or MITI. We can provide no assurances that we will be able to obtain these approvals and changes in a timely manner, or at all.

We may not be able to effectively manage our growth or improve our operational, financial and management information systems, which would impair our profitability.

If we are successful in executing our business plan, we will experience growth in our business that could place a significant strain on our management and other resources. Our ability to manage our growth will require us to continue to improve our operational, financial and management information systems, to implement new systems and to motivate and effectively manage our employees. We cannot assure you that our management will be able to effectively manage this growth.

The market price of our common stock is highly volatile and may decline regardless of our operating performance.

The market price of our common stock is highly volatile. Many factors of this volatility are beyond our control. These factors may cause the market price of our common stock to decline, regardless of our operating performance. Factors that could cause fluctuation in our stock price may include, among other things:

- · actual or anticipated variations in quarterly operating results;
- changes in financial estimates by securities analysts;
- conditions or trends in our industry;
- changes in the market valuations of other technology companies;
- the listing for trading of options on our common stock;
- announcements by us or our competitors of significant acquisitions, strategic partnerships, divestitures, joint ventures or other strategic initiatives;
- · capital commitments;
- · additions or departures of key personnel; and
- sales of common stock.

Item 6. Exhibits and Reports on Form 8-K:

(a) Index to Exhibits.

The following exhibits are filed with, or incorporated by reference into, this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q:

Exhibit Number	Description		
3.1(2)	Second Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation of Capstone Turbine.		
3.2(2)	Amended and Restated Bylaws of Capstone Turbine.		
4.1(2)	Specimen stock certificate.		
10.1(2)	Lease between Capstone Turbine and Northpark Industrial — Leahy Division LLC, dated December 1, 1999, for leased premises at 21211 Nordhoff Street, Chatsworth, California.		
10.2(2)	1993 Incentive Stock Option Plan.		
10.3(2)	Employee Stock Purchase Plan.		
10.4(2)	2000 Equity Incentive Plan.		
10.5(3)	Transition Agreement, dated August 2, 2000, by and between Capstone Turbine and Solar Turbines Incorporated.		
10.6(3)	Amended and Restated License Agreement, dated August 2, 2000, by and between Solar Turbines Incorporated and Capstone Turbine.		
10.7(5)	Lease between Capstone Turbine and AMB Property, L.P., dated September 25, 2000, for leased premises at 16640 Stagg Street, Van Nuys, California.		
10.8(5)	Lease between Capstone Turbine and AH Warner Center Properties, Limited Liability Company, dated February 16, 2001, for leased premises at 21700 Oxnard Street, Woodland Hills, California.		
10.9(4)	Deferred Compensation Plan of Capstone Turbine		
10.10(1)	Executive Incentive Compensation Plan		
10.11(1)	Change of Control Severance Plan		

- (1) Filed herewith.
- (2) Incorporated by reference to Capstone Turbine's Registration Statement on Form S-1 (File No. 333-33024).
- (3) Incorporated by reference to Capstone Turbine's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on October 16, 2000.
- (4) Incorporated by reference to Capstone Turbine's Registration Statement on Form S-8 (File No. 333-66390).
- (5) Incorporated by reference to Capstone Turbine's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2001 (File No. 001-15957)

(b) Reports on Form 8-K.

None

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

CAPSTONE TURBINE CORPORATION

Date: August 14, 2002	Ву:	/s/ KAREN CLARK

Karen Clark, Senior Vice President, Chief Financial Officer (Principal Financial and Accounting Officer)

2002 EXECUTIVE INCENTIVE COMPENSATION PLAN

INTRODUCTION AND PURPOSE

The purpose of this document is to identify the terms and conditions of the Company's Executive Incentive Plan (the "Plan"). The Plan is effective January 1, 2002 through December 31, 2002, or until superseded by written notice from Capstone. This Plan does not constitute a contract for employment of a fixed duration of any length.

The Plan is designed to reward named executives and managers ("Participants") of Capstone upon meeting or exceeding both financial and non-financial goals and objectives that are established for 2002.

The Plan is based on the concept that Participants should expect to achieve incentive awards based on overall Company and individual performance, determined primarily by performance against annual objectives. In this regard, an incentive award pool will be established based on a percentage of each Participants base compensation.

ELIGIBILITY AND PARTICIPATION

The Compensation Committee will designate the Participants. Each Participant's percentage of participation is based upon the individual's position and base compensation rate during the Plan year. Participants are not eligible to participate in any other commission or bonus plan.

All Participants may receive incentive awards based on Company performance objectives and their individual performance against objectives. Despite the other terms of this Plan, Capstone expressly reserves the right to adjust, modify or reduce the amounts described in this Plan based upon business considerations, as determined by the Company's Compensation Committee of the Board.

AWARD OPPORTUNITIES

2002 PERFORMANCE MEASUREMENT AND OBJECTIVES

For the year, a bonus pool is created based on each Participant's eligible compensation and target bonus rate. This potential is then allocated between the financial and non-financial objectives. If the financial objectives are met, the payout potential allocated to the financial objectives is added to the non-financial objectives, and the payout is made based on achievement of the non-financial objectives. If the financial objectives are not met, this portion of the bonus potential is lost and the payout is based only on the portion allocated to the non-financial objectives and the achievement of those objectives. For the CEO, two-thirds (2/3) of bonus potential is allocated to the financial objective and the remaining one-third (1/3) to the non-financial objectives. For all other Participants, one-third (1/3) of the total bonus potential is allocated to the financial objective and two-thirds (2/3) to the non-financial objectives.

The financial objective is achieving the Company's internally established earnings per share ("EPS") goal for 2002. The EPS goal is as stated in the Company's 2002 budget. The Compensation Committee of the Board of Directors reserves the right to make adjustment to actual EPS performance as reported in the Company's year—end financial statement (which reflect appropriate accruals for the expected bonus payout), or not to make such adjustments, which in its discretion are necessary to reflect the Company's achievement of the intended financial performance results.

Each of the Participants can earn fifty percent (50%) of their FY 2002 Bonus by fulfilling the individual objectives set in conjunction with their direct supervisor. However, the Compensation Committee believes it is important to have all Participants working towards a mutual goal. As product quality and reliability are critical to the Company's success, the Compensation Committee has established that one half of each executive's FY2002 Bonus payout be tied to

the Company achieving at least a fifty percent (50%) improvement in the quality and reliability of the Company's products. Therefore, individual objectives and product quality and reliability are the non-financial targets for this plan.

AWARD DETERMINATION AND FORM OF PAYMENT

Individual incentive awards will be determined by the methods and criteria described above based on Company performance and individual performance against objectives. Each Participant's supervisor will assess personal performance against objectives at year-end. The CEO, along with the Compensation Committee, will review all objective assessments. The Compensation Committee, in its sole discretion, may alter the individual performance assessment and hence, the resultant payout or lack thereof.

Awards will be cash. Awards will be payable within a reasonable period following the completion of the audit of the Company's full year financial results and completion of the review by the Compensation Committee.

ADJUSTMENTS FOR UNUSUAL EVENTS

In the event of unusual circumstances that would tend to unfairly penalize and/or reward a particular group of executives the Compensation Committee may authorize a subjective re-allocation of incentive funds. It should be emphasized, however, that generally poor business conditions do not excuse employees from putting forth best `stretch' efforts.

A participant will receive a pro-rata award based on the time spent in the position during the year, if, and only if, he terminated employment with Capstone as a result of death, disability, or retirement. An individual who terminates employment for any other reason is not eligible for an award unless he worked through the end of the Plan year (December 31).

CAPSTONE TURBINE CORPORATION

CHANGE OF CONTROL SEVERANCE PLAN

Capstone Turbine Company (the "Company") believes that the key to its success is the retention of its team of Executives (as defined below). In order to retain those Executives, and to assure them of adequate severance pay in the event of a Change of Control, as defined below, the Company adopts the following plan, effective as of April 24, 2002.

EXECUTIVE OFFICERS TO WHICH THE POLICY IS APPLICABLE

This Plan is applicable to each executive(1). ("Executive(s)") designated by the Board from time to time. Executives may be added or deleted based on Board approval; provided that, only such Board approvals which have been received prior to the consummation of the applicable Change of Control shall be effective as to the addition or deletion of Executives.

SEVERANCE BENEFITS

In the event that an Executive is Involuntarily Terminated within twelve (12) months of a Change of Control (as defined below), such Executive shall be entitled to receive from the Company an amount equal to such Executive's annual base salary plus the cash incentive compensation paid for the year in which the effective date for the Change in Control occurs (such amount, the "Salary"). The Salary shall be paid in one lump sum on the date such Executive was Involuntarily Terminated (the "Termination Date"). Pursuant to COBRA, the Company shall continue such Executive Officer's health care coverage as it currently exists under the Company's medical and dental plans. The Company will pay for such coverage until twelve (12) months after the Termination Date. Thereafter, such Executive shall be eligible to continue such coverage at his or her own expense for the remainder of his or her applicable COBRA continuation period. As used herein, the term "Involuntarily Terminated" shall mean the termination of an Executive's service by reason of:

- a. involuntary dismissal or discharge by the Company for reasons other than Misconduct (as defined below), or
- b. such individual's voluntary resignation following (A) a change in position with the Company which reduces his or her level of responsibility, (B) any reduction in his or her level of compensation (including base salary, fringe benefits, participation in any plans and target bonuses under any corporate-performance based bonus or incentive programs) or (C) a relocation of such individual's place of employment by more than fifty (50) miles, provided and only if such change, reduction or relocation is effected without the individual's consent.

As used herein, "Misconduct" shall mean the commission of any act of fraud, embezzlement, theft or dishonesty by such individual, any unauthorized use or disclosure by such individual of confidential information or trade secrets of the Company (or any parent or subsidiary thereof), or any other intentional misconduct by such individual adversely affecting the business or affairs of the Company (or any parent or subsidiary) in a material manner. The foregoing definition shall not be deemed to be inclusive of all the acts or omissions which the Company (or any parent or subsidiary) may consider as grounds for the dismissal or discharge of any Executive.

CHANGE OF CONTROL

For the purposes of this severance plan, the term "Change in Control" means any one or more of the following:

(i) the successful acquisition by a person or related group of persons, (other than the Company or a person that directly or indirectly controls, is controlled by or is under common control with, the Company) of beneficial ownership (within the meaning of Rule 13d-3 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended) of securities possessing more than fifty percent (50%) of the total combined voting power of the Company's outstanding securities pursuant to a transaction or series of

related transactions which the Board does not at any time recommend the Company's stockholders to accept or approve;

(ii) the first date within any period of twelve (12) consecutive months or less on which there is effected a change in the composition of the Company's Board such that a majority of the Board ceases, by reason of one or more contested

elections for Board membership, to be comprised of individuals who either (i) have been members of the Company's Board continuously since the beginning of such period or (ii) have been elected or nominated for election as Board members during such period by at least a majority of the Board members described in clause (i) who were still in office at the time such election or nomination was approved by the Board;

- (iii) a merger or consolidation in which the Company is not the surviving entity, except for a transaction the principal purpose of which is to change the state in which the Company is incorporated;
- (iv) the sale, transfer or other disposition of all or substantially all of the assets of the Company in complete liquidation or dissolution of the Company;
- (v) any reverse merger in which the Company is the surviving entity but in which securities possessing more than fifty percent (50%) of the total combined voting power of the Company's outstanding securities are transferred to a person or persons different from the persons holding those securities immediately prior to such merger; or
- (vi) the issuance by the Company to a single person or related group of persons (other than the Company or a person that directly or indirectly controls, is controlled by or is under common control with, the Company) of securities possessing more than fifty percent (50%) of the total combined voting power of the Company's outstanding securities (determined after such issuance) in a single transaction or a series of related transactions.

^{1.} Ake Almgren is designated under this Plan but he is approved to receive two times his cash compensation upon a change of control and his involuntary termination.